arXiv:1304.7023v1 [math.DG] 25 Apr 2013

THE INVERSE PROBLEM FOR THE LOCAL RAY TRANSFORM

HANMING ZHOU

Abstract. In this paper we consider the local X-ray transform for general flows. We extend the results on the local and global invertibility of the geodesic ray transform proved by Uhlmann and Vasy [13] to the X-ray transform for a general flow. The key improvement is that our argument for the ellipticity of the conjugated operator A_F (which is defined in Section 2) can be applied to flows other than the geodesic flow.

1. Introduction

Let X be a domain in a Riemannian manifold $(\widetilde{X}, g)$ of dimension ≥ 3. In this paper we consider the local inverse problem for the X-ray transform for a general flow. A *general flow* $\phi_t = (\gamma, \gamma')$ on $S\widetilde{X}$ (the unit sphere bundle on $\widetilde{X}$) satisfies the following equation

$$\frac{D\gamma'}{dt} = E(\gamma, \gamma'), \tag{1}$$

where γ is parameterized by arclength, $E(x, v) \in T_x\widetilde{X}$ is smooth on $S\widetilde{X}$. We call the curve γ on $\widetilde{X}$ that satisfies equation (1) an *$\mathcal{E}$-geodesic*. Thus we also call the X-ray transform for a general flow the *$\mathcal{E}$-geodesic transform*, for an $\mathcal{E}$-geodesic γ, it is defined as

$$If(\gamma) = \int f(\gamma(t))dt.$$

We extend E onto $T\widetilde{X}\backslash o$ (the tangent bundle excluding the zero vector for each fiber) by defining $E(x, v) = |v|_g^2 E(x, \frac{v}{|v|_g})$ for $(x, v) \in T\widetilde{X}\backslash o$, we still denote the extended one by E. So E is homogeneous of degree 2 in v. When $E = 0$, this gives the usual geodesic flows. Let $x \in \partial X$, we say X is *$\mathcal{E}$-convex* at x if

$$\Lambda(x, v) \geq \langle E(x, v), \nu(x)\rangle$$

for all $v \in T_x(\partial X)\backslash o$, where Λ is the second fundamental form of ∂M, $\nu(x)$ is the inward unit vector normal to ∂M at x. If the inequality is strict, then we say X is *strictly $\mathcal{E}$-convex* at x. (These terms are not standard, we only use them within this paper.)

For an open set $O \subset \overline{X}(O \cap \partial X \neq \emptyset)$, we call $\mathcal{E}$-geodesic segments γ which are contained in O with endpoints at ∂X *O-local $\mathcal{E}$-geodesics*; we denote the set of these by $\mathcal{M}_O$. Thus $\mathcal{M}_O$ is an open subset of the smooth manifold $\mathcal{M}$ of all $\mathcal{E}$-geodesics. We then define the *local $\mathcal{E}$-geodesic transform* (or the local X-ray transform for a general flow) of a function f defined on X as the collection $(If)(\gamma)$ of integrals of f along $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_O$, i.e. as the restriction of the X-ray transform to $\mathcal{M}_O$. We ask the following question:

Can we determine $f|_O$, the restriction of f on the open set O, by knowing $(If)(\gamma)$, for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_O$?

In order to state our main theorem in concrete terms, we introduce some notation below. Let $\rho \in C^\infty(\widetilde{X})$ be a defining function of ∂X, so that $\rho > 0$ in X and $\rho < 0$ on $\widetilde{X} \backslash \overline{X}$, vanishes non-degenerately at ∂X. Our main theorem is an invertibility result for the local $\mathcal{E}$-geodesic transform on neighborhoods of $p \in \partial X$ in $\overline{X}$ of the form $\{\tilde{x} > -c\}$, $c > 0$, where $\tilde{x}$ is a function with $\tilde{x}(p) = 0$, $d\tilde{x}(p) = -d\rho(p)$, see Section 2 for the definition of $\tilde{x}$. The statement of our theorem is similar to that of [13] on the local geodesic ray transform, we now are able to show the same results for the local $\mathcal{E}$-geodesic transform.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $\overline{X}$ is strictly $\mathcal{E}$-convex at $p \in \partial X$, there exists a function $\tilde{x} \in C^\infty(\widetilde{X})$ vanishing at p with $d\tilde{x}(p) = -d\rho(p)$ such that for $c > 0$ sufficiently small, and with $O_p = \{\tilde{x} > -c\} \cap \overline{X}$, the local $\mathcal{E}$-geodesic transform is injective on $H^s(O_p), s \geq 0$.*

Further, let $H^s(\mathcal{M}_{O_p})$ denote the restriction of elements of $H^s(\mathcal{M})$ to $\mathcal{M}_{O_p}$, and for $F > 0$ let

$$H^s_F(O_p) = e^{F/(\tilde{x}+c)} H^s(O_p) = \{f \in H^s_{loc}(O_p) : e^{-F/(\tilde{x}+c)} f \in H^s(O_p)\}.$$

Then for $s \geq 0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $f \in H^s_F(O_p)$,

$$\|f\|_{H^{s-1}_F(O_p)} \leq C \|If|_{\mathcal{M}_{O_p}}\|_{H^s(\mathcal{M}_{O_p})}.$$

It is worthy of remark that for this result we only need to assume convexity near the point p. This local result is new even in the case that the metric is conformal to the Euclidean metric. While this large weight $e^{F/(\tilde{x}+c)}$ means that the control over f in terms of If is weak at $\tilde{x} = -c$. Here $F > 0$ can be taken small, but non-vanishing. Further, $\tilde{x}$, whose existence is guaranteed by the theorem, is such that $\tilde{x} = -c$ is concave from the side of O_p.

As an immediate consequence and application of our main theorem, we consider a domain X with compact closure equipped with a boundary defining function $\rho : \overline{X} \to [0, \infty)$ whose level sets $\rho^{-1}(t), 0 \leq t < T$ for some $T > 0$, are strictly $\mathcal{E}$-convex (viewed from $\rho^{-1}((t, \infty))$), and $d\rho$ is non-zero on these level sets. We obtain the following corollary on the global injectivity of the $\mathcal{E}$-geodesic transform, which is an analog of the geodesic case in [13], the proof is exactly the same.

Corollary 1.2. *For X and ρ as above, if the set $\rho^{-1}([T, \infty))$ has 0 measure, the global $\mathcal{E}$-geodesic transform is injective on $L^2(X)$, while if $\rho^{-1}([T, \infty))$ has empty interior, the global $\mathcal{E}$-geodesic transform is injective on $H^s(X), s > n/2$.*

We also point out that one can derive similar local and global reconstruction methods and global stability estimates in the same way as in [13].

The (global) X-ray transform has been widely studied, among which the simpliest case is the geodesic ray transform. The standard X-ray transform, where one integrates a function along straight lines, corresponds to the case of Euclidean metric and is the basis of medical imaging techniques such as CT and PET. The case of integration along more general geodesics arises in geophysical imaging in determining the inner structure of the Earth since the speed of elastic waves generally increases with depth, thus curving the rays back to the Earth surface. It also arises in ultrasound imaging, where the Riemannian metric models the anisotropic index of refraction. Uniqueness and stability was shown by Mukhometov [6] on simple surfaces, and also for more general families of curves in two dimension. In [1], injectivity result was proved for a general family of curves on Finsler surfaces.

The case of geodesics was generalized also for simple manifolds to higher dimensions in [2], [7], [8]. In dimension $n \geq 3$, the paper [3] proves injectivity and stability for the X-ray transform integrating over quite a general class of analytic curves with analytic weights, assuming an additional microlocal condition that includes the case of real-analytic metrics for a class of non-simple manifolds, while [13] provides a completely new approach to the uniqueness for the global geodesic ray transform.

We remark that Corollary 1.2 provides a new approach to the uniqueness of the global problem for the X-ray transform for a general flow. The only method up to now, except in the real-analytic category [3], [10], has been the use of energy type equalities that introduced by Mukhometov [6] and developed by several authors which are now called "Pestov identities". The global geometric condition imposed here is a natural analog of the condition $\frac{d}{dr}(r/c(r)) > 0$ proposed by Herglotz [4] and Wiechert and Zoeppritz [14] for an isotropic radial sound speed $c(r)$. It allows in principle for conjugate points of the flow. In [11] one can find a microlocal study of the geodesic X-ray transform with fold caustics. A similar condition of foliating by convex hypersurfaces was used in [12] to satisfy the pseudoconvexity condition needed for Carleman estimats.

For the local X-ray transform, the only result so far is for the local geodesic ray transform proved by Uhlmann and Vasy [13]. They defined an operator A which is essentially a 'microlocal normal operator' for the geodesic ray transform, such that Af only depends on the integral of f on the elements of $\mathcal{M}_{O_p}$ (the set of O_p-local geodesics). The operator A is an elliptic pseudodifferential operator only in $\tilde{x} > -c$. However, it turns out that the exponential conjugate A_F of A is a scattering pseudodifferential operator in Melrose's scattering calculus [5] on $\tilde{x} \geq -c$, see also [13, Section 2]. They showed that A_F is a Fredholm operator and it is invertible for c near 0, which induces both uniqueness and stability estimates for local geodesic transform. The key ingredient from the geodesic nature of the curves in their paper is that for $z \in \widetilde{X}$ near point p, and geodesics γ with $\gamma(0) = z$, $\frac{d}{dt}(\tilde{x} \circ \gamma)|_{t=0} = 0$, then $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(\tilde{x} \circ \gamma)|_{t=0}$ induces a positive definite quadratic form α near p. This quadratic form α plays a crucial role in showing the invertibility of the principal symbol of A_F at the boundary $\tilde{x} = -c$.

Our main theorem is proved along the lines of [13]. We show that one can prove the invertibility of A_F for general flows without the aid of the existence of some positive definite quadratic form, by using the polar coordinates to calculate the boundary principal symbol of A_F at $\tilde{x} = -c$.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks his advisor Prof. Gunther Uhlmann for suggesting this problem and helpful discussions and for reading the previous version of this paper.

2. Proof of the main theorem

Suppose first that X is a domain in $(\widetilde{X}, g)$, $p \in \partial X$, and ∂X is strictly $\mathcal{E}$-convex at p (hence near p; the convexity assumption will guarantee that in a small neighborhood of p, there is no conjugate points with respect to the flow). That is, with ρ a boundary defining function of $\overline{X}$, we have that for verctors $v \in T_p\widetilde{X}\backslash o$,

$$(H_g\rho)(v) = 0 \Rightarrow (H_g^2\rho)(v) + \langle E(p, v), \nabla\rho(p)\rangle < 0,$$

where $(H_g\rho)(v)=\langle\nabla\rho,v\rangle$, $H_g^2\rho$ is the Hessian of ρ. In particular, by the compactness of the unit sphere and the homogeneity of $H_g^2\rho$ and E, there is a neighborhood U_0 of p in $\widetilde{X}$ and $\delta>0, C_0>0$ such that for vectors $v\in T_{U_0}\widetilde{X}\backslash o$,

$$|(H_g\rho)(v)|<\delta|v|_g \Rightarrow (H_g^2\rho)(v)+\langle E(\cdot,v),\nabla\rho\rangle\leq -C_0|v|_g^2.$$

We want to define a function $\tilde{x}$ near p such that $\tilde{x}(p)=0$, the region $\tilde{x}\geq -c$, $\rho\geq 0$, is compact for $c>0$ small, and the level sets of $\tilde{x}$ are concave from the side of this region (i.e. the super-level sets of $\tilde{x}$). By shrinking U_0 if needed, we may assume that it is a coordinate neighborhood of p. Concretely we let, for $\epsilon>0$ to be decided, and with $|\cdot|$ the Euclidean norm,

$$\tilde{x}(z)=-\rho(z)-\epsilon|z-p|^2;$$

then $\tilde{x}\geq -c$ gives $\rho+\epsilon|z-p|^2\leq c$ and thus $\rho\leq c$; further, with $\rho\geq 0$ this gives $|z-p|^2\leq c/\epsilon$. Thus for c/ϵ sufficiently small, the region $\tilde{x}\geq -c, \rho\geq 0$, is compactly contained in U_0. Further, for $v\in T_{U_0}\widetilde{X}$, $H_g\tilde{x}(v)=-H_g\rho(v)-\epsilon H_g|\cdot-p|^2(v)$, so $H_g\tilde{x}(v)=0$ implies $|H_g\rho(v)|<C'\epsilon|v|_g$, so with $\delta>0$ as above there is $\epsilon'>0$ such that for $\epsilon\in(0,\epsilon')$, $H_g\tilde{x}(v)=0$ in U_0 implies $|H_g\rho(v)|<\delta|v|_g$, and then for $\epsilon<\epsilon'$,

$$\begin{aligned}&H_g^2\tilde{x}(v)+\langle E(\cdot,v),\nabla\tilde{x}\rangle\\&=-(H_g^2\rho(v)+\langle E(\cdot,v),\nabla\rho\rangle)-\epsilon(H_g^2|\cdot-p|^2(v)+\langle E(\cdot,v),\nabla|\cdot-p|^2\rangle)\\&\geq(C_0-C''\epsilon)|v|_g^2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there is $\epsilon_0>0$ such that for $\epsilon\in(0,\epsilon_0)$, $H_g^2\tilde{x}(v)+\langle E(p,v),\nabla\tilde{x}(p)\rangle\geq(C_0/2)|v|_g^2$ at $T_p\widetilde{X}$ when $H_g\tilde{x}$ vanishes. Thus taking $c_0>0$ sufficiently small (corresponding to ϵ_0), we have constructed a function $\tilde{x}$ defined on a neighborhood U_0 of p with concave level sets (from the side of the super-level sets) and such that for $0\leq c\leq c_0$,

$$O_c=\{\tilde{x}>-c\}\cap\{\rho\geq 0\}$$

has compact closure in $U_0\cap\widetilde{X}$ (Here O_c is exactly the O_p in Theorem 1.1).

From now on, we work with $x_c=\tilde{x}+c$, which is the boundary defining function of $x_c\geq 0$; we supress the c dependence and simply write x in place of x_c. For most of the following discussion we completely ignore the actual boundary $\rho=0$; this will only play a role at the end since ellipticity properties only hold in U_0 and we need f to be supported in $\rho\geq 0$, ensuring localization, in order to obtain injectivity and stability estimates. Thus, completing x to a coordinate system (x,y) on a neighborhood $U_1\subset U_0$ of p, for each point (x,y) we can parameterize $\mathcal{E}$-geodesics through this point by the unit sphere; the relevant ones for us are 'almost tangent' to level sets of x, i.e. we are interested in ones with tangent vector $k(\lambda\partial_x+\omega\partial_y)$, $k(x,y,\lambda,\omega)>0$ (to say have unit length), $\omega\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and λ relatively small.

Now, the $\mathcal{E}$-geodesic corresponding to $(z_0,\nu_0)\in S\widetilde{X}$, $\gamma=\gamma_{z_0,\nu_0}$, is the projection of the flow ϕ_t emanating from (z_0,ν_0) (i.e. the intergral curve of H_g through this point). If f is a function on the base space $\widetilde{X}$ then $\frac{d}{dt}(f\circ\gamma)(0)=H_gf(\gamma'(0))$, $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(f\circ\gamma)(0)=H_g^2f(\gamma'(0))+\langle E(\gamma(0),\gamma'(0)),\nabla f(\gamma(0))\rangle$ (Here γ is parameterized by arclength).

We use a fibration by level sets of the function x with non-vanishing differential. Letting V be a vector field orthogonal with respect to g to these level sets with $Vx=1$, and using $\{x=0\}$ as the initial hypersurface, the flow of V (locally) identifies a neighborhood of $\{x=0\}$ with $(-\epsilon,\epsilon)_x\times\{x=0\}$, with the first coordinate being exactly the function x (since time t flow by V changes the value of x to t). In particular, choosing coordinates y_j on x=0, we obtain coordinates on this neighborhood such that ∂_{y_j} and ∂_x are orthogonal, i.e. the metric is of the form $f(x,y)dx^2+h(x,y,dy)$ with $f>0$. By our convexity assumption, at $\frac{d}{dt}(x\circ\gamma)(0)=0$, $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(x\circ\gamma)(0)=H_g^2x(\gamma'(0))+\langle E(\gamma(0),\gamma'(0)),\nabla x(\gamma(0))\rangle>0$ where $\gamma=\gamma_{x,y,k\lambda,k\omega}$ with $\gamma(0)=(x,y)$, $\gamma'(0)=k(\lambda\partial_x+\omega\partial_y)$.

Now, for given $\mathcal{E}$-geodesics $\gamma=\gamma_{x,y,k\lambda,k\omega}$ (with $\omega\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and λ relatively small) that are parameterized by arclength, we change the parameters to make the tangent vectors $\gamma'(0)=\lambda\partial_x+\omega\partial_y$. So generally the curves γ are no longer parameterized by arclength, we denote the original arclength parameter by s, the new parameter by t, and $\frac{ds}{dt}>0, \gamma_s(0)=\gamma_t(0)$ (i.e. $s=0 \iff t=0$) . In particular, for $\gamma=\gamma_{x,y,\lambda,\omega}$ with $\gamma(0)=(x,y)$, $\gamma'(0)=\lambda\partial_x+\omega\partial_y$, if $\frac{d}{dt}(x\circ\gamma)(0)=0$ (i.e. $\lambda=0$, thus $\frac{d}{ds}(x\circ\gamma)(0)=0$ too), we have $|\gamma'(0)|_g=|\omega\partial_y|_g=1$ (i.e. $\frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=0}=1$). By convexity assumption, we have

$$\alpha(x,y,0,\omega,0):=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(x\circ\gamma)(0)=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{ds^2}(x\circ\gamma)(0)>0$$

(Roughly speaking, the local change of parameter preserves convexity/positivity). *Thus, from now on we use (x,y,λ,ω), instead of $(x,y,k\lambda,k\omega)$, to parameterize the curves γ for sufficiently small λ.*

Similar to [13], we will work in the following general setting. We consider integrals along a family of curves $\gamma_{x,y,\lambda,\omega}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $(x,y,\lambda,\omega)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, depending smoothly on the parameters. Here $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_y$ could be replaced by an arbitrary manifold and below we make x small, so we are working in a tubular neighborhood of a codimension one submanifold of $\widetilde{X}$. *However, since the changes in the manifold setting are essentially just notational (we are working on a single coordinate chart), for the sake of clarity we work with $\mathbb{R}^n$.* Further, below we work with neighborhood of a compact subset $\{0\}\times K\subset\mathbb{R}_x\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_y$; $\gamma_{x,y,\lambda,\omega}(t)$ would only need to be defined for (x,y) in a fixed neighborhood U of $\{0\}\times K$ and for $|\lambda|,|t|<\delta_0$, $\delta_0>0$ a fixed constant.

The basic feature we need is that for $x\geq 0$ and for λ sufficiently small, depending on x, the curves stay in $[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Thus for $x=0$, only $\lambda=0$ is allowed. We assume that

$$\gamma_{x,y,\lambda,\omega}(0)=(x,y),\ \gamma'_{x,y,\lambda,\omega}(0)=(\lambda,\omega),$$
$$\gamma''_{x,y,\lambda,\omega}(t)=2(\alpha(x,y,\lambda,\omega,t),\beta(x,y,\lambda,\omega,t)),$$

with α,β smooth and

$$\alpha(0,y,0,\omega,0)\geq 2C>0.$$

This implies that if $K\subset\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is compact, then for a sufficiently small neighborhood U of $\{0\}\times K$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (with compact closure), and $|\lambda|,|t|<\delta_0$, where $\delta_0>0$ small, we have

$$\alpha(x,y,\lambda,\omega,t)\geq C>0.$$

One may assume that $x<\delta_0$ on U. Thus for $\gamma(t)=(x'(t),y'(t))$,

$$x'=x+\lambda t+t^2\int_0^1(1-\sigma)\alpha(x,y,\lambda,\omega,\sigma)\,d\sigma\geq x+\lambda t+Ct^2/2,$$

so if $|\lambda|,|t|<\delta_0,(x,y)\in U$, then

$$x'\geq\frac{C}{2}(t+\frac{\lambda}{C})^2+(x-\frac{\lambda^2}{2C}).$$

Thus, for $|\lambda| \leq \sqrt{2Cx}$ (and $|\lambda| < \delta_0$), $x' \geq 0$, i.e. the curves ($\mathcal{E}$-geodesics) remain in the half-space $x' \geq 0$ at least for $|t| < \delta_0$. Further, if we fix $x_0 > 0$, then $x' \geq x_0$ provided $|t + \frac{\lambda}{C}| > \sqrt{2x_0/C}$ and $|t| < \delta_0$, thus when $|\lambda| \leq C_0 x_0$ and $|\lambda| < \delta_0$, then $x' \geq x_0$ provided $|t| > \frac{C_0 x_0}{C} + \sqrt{2x_0/C}, |t| < \delta_0$. Assuming $x \leq x_0$ and taking x_0 sufficiently small so that $\frac{C_0}{C}x_0 + \sqrt{2x_0/C} < \delta_0$, we thus deduce that the curve segments $\gamma_{x,y,\lambda,\omega}|_{(-\delta_0,\delta_0)}$ are outside the region $x' < x_0$ for t outside a fixed compact subinterval of $(-\delta_0, \delta_0)$. *From now on, by γ we mean the restriction $\gamma_{x,y,\lambda,\omega}|_{(-\delta_0,\delta_0)}$, and we assume that the functions we integrate along γ are supported in $x' \leq x_0/2$, so all integrals are on a fixed compact subinterval.*

Similar to the facts in [3] and [13] by the convexity assumption, the maps

$$\Gamma_+ : S\widetilde{X} \times [0, \infty) \to [\widetilde{X} \times \widetilde{X}; diag], \, \Gamma_+(z, v, t) = \gamma_{z,v}(t) = (z, |z' - z|, \frac{z' - z}{|z' - z|})$$

and

$$\Gamma_- : S\widetilde{X} \times (-\infty, 0] \to [\widetilde{X} \times \widetilde{X}; diag], \, \Gamma_-(z, v, t) = \gamma_{z,v}(t) = (z, -|z' - z|, -\frac{z' - z}{|z' - z|})$$

are two diffeomorphisms near $S\widetilde{X} \times \{0\}$. Since we actually work with (locally, in the region of interest) the set of tangent vectors of the form $\lambda\partial_x + \omega\partial_y$, where $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and λ relatively small, which can be regarded as a small perturbation of a portion of the sphere bundle $S\widetilde{X}$. Thus we reduce δ_0 if necessary so that Γ_+ is a diffeomorphism on $U_{x,y} \times (-\delta_0, \delta_0)_\lambda \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}_\omega \times [0, \delta_0)_t$, and analogously for Γ_-. We assume this from now on.

Our inverse problem is now that assuming $(If)(x, y, \lambda, \omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\gamma_{x,y,\lambda,\omega}(t))dt$ is known, we would like to recover f from it. Recall our convention from above, the integral is really over $(-\delta_0, \delta_0)$, and $f(x', y')$ vanishes for $x' \geq x_0/2$. One difference comparing with the geodesic flow in [13] is that generally the flow defined by E is not time reversible, i.e.

$$\gamma_{x,y,-\lambda,-\omega}(-t) \neq \gamma_{x,y,\lambda,\omega}(t).$$

Thus we will have two curves with a given tangent line at each (x, y), so having the integral of functions along both.

The idea is similar to [13], namely to consider for $x > 0$

$$(Af)(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} (If)(x, y, \lambda, \omega)\tilde{\chi}(x, \lambda) d\lambda d\omega, \tag{2}$$

where $\tilde{\chi}$ is supported in $|\lambda| \leq \sqrt{2Cx}$. We define

$$\tilde{\chi}(x, \lambda) = x^{-1}\chi(\lambda/x),$$

where χ is compactly supported (for sufficiently small x). We can allow χ to depend smoothly on y and ω; over compact sets such a behavior is uniform. As what mentioned in [13], for $s \geq 0$,

$$A = L \circ I : H^s([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \to x^{-s-1}H^s([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$$

is bounded, where $L : H^s([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-2}) \to x^{-s-1}H^s([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ is also bounded and

$$(Lu)(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} u(x, y, \lambda, \omega)\tilde{\chi}(x, \lambda) d\lambda d\omega.$$

If we show A is invertible as a map between above spaces of functions supported near $x=0$, we obtain an estimate for f in terms of If.

Similar to [13, Proposition 3.3], next we show that A is an elliptic pseudodifferential operator in $x>0$ for proper choice of χ; while the conjugates of A by exponential weights are scattering pseudodifferential operators on $x\geq 0$.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose* $\chi\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\chi\geq 0$ *with* $\chi>0$ *near* 0, *then* $A\in\Psi^{-1}(x>0)$ *is elliptic, and the operator* $A_F=x^{-1}e^{-F/x}Ae^{F/x}$ *is in* $\Psi_{sc}^{-1,0}(x\geq 0)$ *for* $F>0$.

Proof. First we work in $x>0$, ignoring the limit $x\to 0$. The diffeomorphism property of $\Gamma_\pm$ allows us to rewrite, with $|dv|$ denoting a smooth measure on the transversal such as $|d\lambda||d\omega|$,

$$Af(z)=\sum_{\bullet=+,-}\int f(z')\tilde{\chi}(\Gamma_\bullet^{-1}(z,z'))(\Gamma_\bullet^{-1})^*(|dv|dt)$$

in terms of z,z' as

$$\int f(z')|z'-z|^{-n+1}\{b(z,|z'-z|,\frac{z'-z}{|z'-z|})+b(z,-|z'-z|,-\frac{z'-z}{|z'-z|})\}dz', \tag{3}$$

$$b(z,0,w)=\tilde{\chi}(z,w)\sigma(z,w),$$

where $\sigma>0$ is bounded below (it is actually the Jacobian factor). Thus A is a pseudodifferential operator with principal symbol given by the Fourier transform of

$$|z'-z|^{-n+1}\{(\tilde{\chi}\sigma)(z,\frac{z'-z}{|z'-z|})+(\tilde{\chi}\sigma)(z,-\frac{z'-z}{|z'-z|})\}$$

in $Z=z'-z$. By similar argument as in the proof of [13, Proposition 3.3], we can get that the principal symbol of A at (z,ζ) is of the form

$$c_n|\zeta|^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}(\tilde{\chi}\sigma)(z,\hat{Z}^\perp)+(\tilde{\chi}\sigma)(z,-\hat{Z}^\perp)d\hat{Z}^\perp=2c_n|\zeta|^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}}(\tilde{\chi}\sigma)(z,\hat{Z}^\perp)d\hat{Z}^\perp, \tag{4}$$

where $\hat{Z}^\perp$ is the parameter for the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ which is orthogonal to ζ. In particular, under our assumption of χ, A is an elliptic pseudodifferential operator of order -1, in accordance with the results of Stefanov and Uhlmann [9], provided $n>2$.

We now turn to the scattering behavior, i.e. as at least one of $x,x'\to 0$. We apply the scattering coordinates (x,y,X,Y) introduced in [13, Section 2], where

$$X=\frac{x'-x}{x^2},\ Y=\frac{y'-y}{x}.$$

With K denoting the Schwartz kernel of A, A_F has Schwartz kernel

$$K_F(x,y,X,Y)=x^{-1}e^{-FX/(1+xX)}K(x,y,X,Y), \tag{5}$$

here K has polynomial bounds in terms of X,Y. Our main claim is that K_F and its derivatives have exponential decay for $F>0$, and K_F is smooth for (X,Y) finite, non-zero, conormal to $(X,Y)=0$. This will imply that A_F is a scattering pseudodifferential operator.

Similar to [13], we can also use $(x, y, |y'-y|, \frac{x'-x}{|y'-y|}, \frac{y'-y}{|y'-y|})$ as the local coordinates on $\Gamma_+(supp\tilde{\chi} \times [0,\delta_0))$; and analogously for $\Gamma_-(supp\tilde{\chi} \times (-\delta_0, 0])$ the coordinates are $(x, y, -|y'-y|, -\frac{x'-x}{|y'-y|}, -\frac{y'-y}{|y'-y|})$. Now, in terms of the scattering coordinates,

$$|y'-y| = x|Y|,\ \frac{x'-x}{|y'-y|} = \frac{xX}{|Y|},\ \frac{y'-y}{|y'-y|} = \hat{Y},$$

Thus similar to the estimates in [13] by Taylor expansion in $|y'-y|$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda(\Gamma_+^{-1})}{x} &= \frac{X}{|Y|} + |Y|\tilde{\Lambda}(x, y, x|Y|, \frac{xX}{|Y|}, \hat{Y}), \\ \frac{\lambda(\Gamma_-^{-1})}{x} &= -\frac{X}{|Y|} - |Y|\tilde{\Lambda}(x, y, -x|Y|, -\frac{xX}{|Y|}, -\hat{Y}). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\Gamma_+^{-1}) &= \hat{Y} + x|Y|\tilde{\Omega}(x, y, x|Y|, \frac{xX}{|Y|}, \hat{Y}), \\ \omega(\Gamma_-^{-1}) &= -\hat{Y} - x|Y|\tilde{\Omega}(x, y, -x|Y|, -\frac{xX}{|Y|}, -\hat{Y}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t(\Gamma_+^{-1}) &= x|Y| + x^2|Y|^2\tilde{T}(x, y, x|Y|, \frac{xX}{|Y|}, \hat{Y}), \\ t(\Gamma_-^{-1}) &= -x|Y| - x^2|Y|^2\tilde{T}(x, y, -x|Y|, -\frac{xX}{|Y|}, -\hat{Y}). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} dt\, d\lambda\, d\omega(\Gamma_\pm^{-1}) &= J(x, y, \pm|Y|, \pm\frac{X}{|Y|}, \pm\hat{Y})x^2|Y|^{-1}dXd|Y|d\hat{Y} \\ &= J(x, y, \pm|Y|, \pm\frac{X}{|Y|}, \pm\hat{Y})x^2|Y|^{-n+1}dXdY \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where the density factor J is smooth and positive, and $J|_{x=0} = 1$. Note that on the blow-up of the scattering diagonal, $\{X = 0, Y = 0\}$, in the region $|Y| > \epsilon|X|$, thus on the support of χ in view of (6),

$$x, y, \pm\frac{X}{|Y|}, \pm|Y|, \pm\hat{Y}$$

are valid coordinates, with $|Y|$ being the defining function of the front face of this blow up. Taking into account the x^{-1} in the definition of $\tilde{\chi}$, we deduce that K_F is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-FX/(1+xX)}|Y|^{-n+1}\{\chi(\frac{X}{|Y|} + |Y|\tilde{\Lambda}(x, y, x|Y|, \frac{xX}{|Y|}, \hat{Y}))J(x, y, |Y|, \frac{X}{|Y|}, \hat{Y}) \\ &\quad + \chi(-\frac{X}{|Y|} - |Y|\tilde{\Lambda}(x, y, -x|Y|, -\frac{xX}{|Y|}, -\hat{Y}))J(x, y, -|Y|, -\frac{X}{|Y|}, -\hat{Y})\}, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

so in particular it is conormal to the front face on the blow-up of the scattering diagonal, of the form $\rho^{-n+1}b$, where b is smooth up to the front face, and without the first exponential factor it, together with its derivatives has polynomial growth as $(X, Y) \to \infty$. We decompose K_F into two pieces supported in $|(X, Y)| < 2$ and $|(X, Y)| > 1$ by a partition of unity. For the first term, supported in $|(X, Y)| < 2$, similar calculations as in (3) in Fourier transforming this in (X, Y) show that this term of K_F is indeed the Schwartz kernel of an element of $\Psi_{sc}^{-1,0}$, with standard principal symbol being given by the analogue of (4). So we will show that the second term is Schwartz in (X, Y) due to the exponential decay of the first factor of (9) on the support of χ.

We use (7) to express $\frac{\lambda}{x}$ by

$$x' = x + \lambda t + \alpha(x, y, \lambda, \omega)t^2 + O(t^3),\ y' = y + \omega t + O(t^2), \tag{10}$$

where the terms $O(t^2)$ and $O(t^3)$ have coefficients which are smooth in (x, y, λ, ω). Thus,

$$X = \frac{x' - x}{x^2} = \frac{\lambda t}{x^2} + \frac{\alpha t^2}{x^2} + \frac{t^3}{x^2}\Upsilon(x, y, \lambda, \omega, t),$$

with Υ a smooth function of its arguments, so by (7)

$$X = \frac{\lambda(\Gamma_+^{-1})}{x}|Y|(1 + x|Y|\tilde{T}) + \alpha(\Gamma_+^{-1})|Y|^2(1 + x|Y|\tilde{T})^2 + x|Y|^3\Upsilon(\Gamma_+^{-1}),$$

also

$$X = \frac{\lambda(\Gamma_-^{-1})}{x}|Y|(-1 - x|Y|\tilde{T}) + \alpha(\Gamma_-^{-1})|Y|^2(-1 - x|Y|\tilde{T})^2 - x|Y|^3\Upsilon(\Gamma_-^{-1}).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda(\Gamma_+^{-1})}{x} &= \frac{X - \alpha(\Gamma_+^{-1})|Y|^2}{|Y|} + O(x), \\ \frac{\lambda(\Gamma_-^{-1})}{x} &= \frac{-X + \alpha(\Gamma_-^{-1})|Y|^2}{|Y|} + O'(x), \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $O(x)$ and $O'(x)$ have smooth coefficients in terms of $x, y, x|Y|, xX/|Y|, \hat{Y}$. Thus for $\frac{\lambda_\pm}{x} \in (-c, c)$, $-c|Y| < X - \alpha(\Gamma_\pm^{-1})|Y|^2 < c|Y|$, which shows (by the positivity of α) that $X \to +\infty$ on $\mathrm{supp}\tilde{\chi}$ if $|Y| \to \infty$, and indeed for $|Y|$ large enough, $X > C|Y|^2$ for some $C > 0$.

Now for all N the exponential factor in (9) is $\leq C|(X, Y)|^{-N}$ for suitable C on the support of χ, so combined with the polynomial estimates for the derivatives of the other factors, it follows that K_F is smooth in (x, y) with values on functions Schwartz in (X, Y) for $(X, Y) \neq 0$, and conormal to $(X, Y) = 0$, which is exactly the characterization of the Schwartz kernel of a scattering pseudodifferentail operator. This finishes the proof of the Proposition.

□

Here the additional information is the behavior of A_F at $x = 0$, but given that A_F is an element of $\Psi_{sc}^{-1,0}$, the same information can be obtained from computing the boundary principal symbol. Indeed this is immediate from (9) and (11) which show that at $x = 0$ (the scattering front face) the Schwartz kernel $\tilde{K}(y, X, Y)$ of A_F is

$$e^{-FX}|Y|^{-n+1}\{\chi(\frac{X - \alpha(0, y, 0, \hat{Y})|Y|^2}{|Y|}) + \chi(\frac{-X + \alpha(0, y, 0, -\hat{Y})|Y|^2}{|Y|})\}.$$

So the desired invertibility of A_F amounts to the Fourier transform of the kernel, $\tilde{K}(y, \cdot, \cdot)$ being bounded below in absolute value by $c\langle(\xi, \eta)\rangle^{-1}, c > 0$ (here (ξ, η) are the Fourier dual variables of (X, Y)). Thus our job now is to compute the Fourier transform of $\tilde{K}(y, \cdot, \cdot)$. Note that we allow that χ also depends on y and ω. We have thus shown

Lemma 2.2. *The boundary principal symbol of* $x^{-1}e^{-F/x}Ae^{F/x}$ *is the* (X, Y)*-Fourier transform of*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{K}(y, X, Y) = e^{-FX}|Y|^{-n+1}\{&\chi(\frac{X - \alpha(0, y, 0, \hat{Y})|Y|^2}{|Y|}, y, \hat{Y}) \\ &+\chi(\frac{-X + \alpha(0, y, 0, -\hat{Y})|Y|^2}{|Y|}, y, -\hat{Y})\}.\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

In order to find a suitable χ to make A_F invertible, we follow the same strategy of [13], namely we do calculation for $\chi(s) = e^{-s^2/(2F^{-1}\alpha)}$ with $F > 0$ (here we need the positivity of α), so $\hat{\chi}(\cdot) = c\sqrt{F^{-1}\alpha}e^{-F^{-1}\alpha|\cdot|^2/2}$ for appropriate $c > 0$. Here χ does not have compact support, and an approximation argument will be necessary. First, the Fourier transform of $\tilde{K}$ in X is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_X\tilde{K}(y, \xi, Y) = |Y|^{2-n}\{&e^{-\alpha_+F|Y|^2}e^{-i\alpha_+\xi|Y|^2}\hat{\chi}((\xi - iF)|Y|, y, \hat{Y}) \\ &+e^{-\alpha_-F|Y|^2}e^{-i\alpha_-\xi|Y|^2}\hat{\chi}((\xi - iF)|Y|, y, -\hat{Y})\},\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $\alpha_+ = \alpha(0, y, 0, \hat{Y})$, $\alpha_- = \alpha(0, y, 0, -\hat{Y})$. Substituting the particular χ into (13) yields a non-zero multiple of

$$|Y|^{2-n}\{(F^{-1}\alpha_+)^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-F^{-1}(\xi^2+F^2)\alpha_+|Y|^2/2} + (F^{-1}\alpha_-)^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-F^{-1}(\xi^2+F^2)\alpha_-|Y|^2/2}\}. \tag{14}$$

Now we estimate the Fourier transform of (14) in Y upto some non-zero multiple. Not like the case of the geodesic flow in [13], generally our α may contain terms other than a quadratic form in $\hat{Y}$, thus we use polar coordiantes to compute the Fourier transform in Y. We denote $\frac{F^{-1}(\xi^2+F^2)}{2}$ by b, then

$$\mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{F}_X\tilde{K})(y, \xi, \eta) \simeq \int e^{-i\eta\cdot Y}|Y|^{2-n}(\alpha_+^{1/2}e^{-b\alpha_+|Y|^2} + \alpha_-^{1/2}e^{-b\alpha_-|Y|^2})dY$$

$$\begin{aligned}&= \int_0^{+\infty}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} e^{-i\eta\cdot\hat{Y}|Y|}|Y|^{2-n}(\alpha_+^{1/2}e^{-b\alpha_+|Y|^2} + \alpha_-^{1/2}e^{-b\alpha_-|Y|^2})|Y|^{n-2}d|Y|d\hat{Y} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} e^{-i\eta\cdot\hat{Y}t}(\alpha_+^{1/2}e^{-b\alpha_+t^2} + \alpha_-^{1/2}e^{-b\alpha_-t^2})dtd\hat{Y} \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} b^{-1/2}(e^{-|\eta\cdot\hat{Y}|^2/4b\alpha_+} + e^{-|\eta\cdot\hat{Y}|^2/4b\alpha_-})d\hat{Y} \\ &= b^{-1/2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} e^{-|\eta\cdot\hat{Y}|^2/4b\alpha(y,\hat{Y})}d\hat{Y},\end{aligned}$$

here $\simeq$ means equal up to some multiple.

To estimate the Fourier transform of (12), we need to study the joint (ξ, η)-behavior, i.e. when $\langle(\xi, \eta)\rangle$ is going to infinity, where we need lower bounds. we denote $(\xi^2 + F^2)^{1/2}$ by $\langle\xi\rangle$, then $\mathcal{F}_{X,Y}\tilde{K}(y, \xi, \eta)$ is a constant multiple of

$$\langle\xi\rangle^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} e^{-|\frac{\eta}{\langle\xi\rangle}\cdot\hat{Y}|^2/4c\alpha(y,\hat{Y})}d\hat{Y}$$

with $c = c(F) > 0$. The only property of $\alpha(y, \hat{Y})$ we need is locally uniformly positivity, i.e. $0 < c_1 \leq \alpha \leq c_2$ for some positive constants c_1, c_2 that depend on y and are locally uniform, which is true under our assumption.

When $\frac{|\eta|}{\langle\xi\rangle} \leq C$, then $\langle\xi\rangle^{-1}$ is equivalent to $\langle(\xi,\eta)\rangle^{-1}$ in this region in terms of decay rates,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} e^{-|\frac{\eta}{\langle\xi\rangle}\cdot\hat{Y}|^2/4c\alpha(y,\hat{Y})} d\hat{Y} \geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} e^{-c'|\frac{\eta}{\langle\xi\rangle}|^2} d\hat{Y} \geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} e^{-C} d\hat{Y} = C.$$

Thus $\mathcal{F}_{X,Y}\tilde{K}(y,\xi,\eta) \geq C\langle\xi\rangle^{-1} \simeq C\langle(\xi,\eta)\rangle^{-1}$.

When $\frac{|\eta|}{\langle\xi\rangle}$ is bounded from below, in which case $\langle(\xi,\eta)\rangle^{-1}$ is equivalent to $|\eta|^{-1}$, we write $\hat{Y} = (\hat{Y}^{\|}, \hat{Y}^{\perp})$ according to the orthogonal decomposition of $\hat{Y}$ relative to $\frac{\eta}{|\eta|}$, where $\hat{Y}^{\|} = \hat{Y}\cdot\frac{\eta}{|\eta|}$, and $d\hat{Y}$ is of the form $a(\hat{Y}^{\|})d\hat{Y}^{\|}d\theta$ with $\theta = \frac{\hat{Y}^{\perp}}{|\hat{Y}^{\perp}|}, a(0) = 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} e^{-|\frac{\eta}{\langle\xi\rangle}\cdot\hat{Y}|^2/4c\alpha(y,\hat{Y})} d\hat{Y} &\geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} e^{-c'|\frac{\eta}{\langle\xi\rangle}\cdot\hat{Y}|^2} d\hat{Y}\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-3}} e^{-c'(\hat{Y}^{\|}\frac{|\eta|}{\langle\xi\rangle})^2} a(\hat{Y}^{\|}) d\theta d\hat{Y}^{\|}\\ &= \frac{\langle\xi\rangle}{|\eta|}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\{\frac{|\eta|}{\langle\xi\rangle}e^{-c'(\hat{Y}^{\|}\frac{|\eta|}{\langle\xi\rangle})^2}\} a(\hat{Y}^{\|}) d\hat{Y}^{\|}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-3}} d\theta. \qquad (15)\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{|\eta|}{\langle\xi\rangle}e^{-c'(\hat{Y}^{\|}\frac{|\eta|}{\langle\xi\rangle})^2} \to \delta_0$ in distributions as $\frac{|\eta|}{\langle\xi\rangle} \to \infty$, (15) is equal to $\frac{\langle\xi\rangle}{|\eta|}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-3}} d\theta = 2C\frac{\langle\xi\rangle}{|\eta|}$ $(C > 0)$ modulo terms decaying faster as $\frac{|\eta|}{\langle\xi\rangle} \to \infty$. Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\{\frac{|\eta|}{\langle\xi\rangle}e^{-c'(\hat{Y}^{\|}\frac{|\eta|}{\langle\xi\rangle})^2}\}a(\hat{Y}^{\|})d\hat{Y}^{\|}$ is smooth in $\frac{|\eta|}{\langle\xi\rangle}$, there is $N > 0$, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\{\frac{|\eta|}{\langle\xi\rangle}e^{-c'(\hat{Y}^{\|}\frac{|\eta|}{\langle\xi\rangle})^2}\} a(\hat{Y}^{\|}) d\hat{Y}^{\|}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-3}} d\theta \geq C$$

for $\frac{|\eta|}{\langle\xi\rangle} \geq N$. (Notice that the integral on $\mathbb{S}^{n-3}$ uses very strongly the assumption $n \geq 3$; when $n = 3$, $d\theta$ is the point measure) Thus $\mathcal{F}_{X,Y}\tilde{K}(y,\xi,\eta) \geq C\frac{1}{\langle\xi\rangle}\frac{\langle\xi\rangle}{|\eta|} = C|\eta|^{-1} \simeq C\langle(\xi,\eta)\rangle^{-1}$.

Thus we deduce that $\mathcal{F}_{X,Y}\tilde{K}(y,\xi,\eta) \geq c\langle(\xi,\eta)\rangle^{-1}$ for some $c > 0$, i.e the ellipticity claim for the case that χ is a Gaussian. By approximation argument as in [13], one obtain some $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that the Fourier transform of $\tilde{K}$ with this χ still has lower bounds $\tilde{c}\langle(\xi,\eta)\rangle^{-1}, \tilde{c} > 0$, as desired. We have thus proved:

Proposition 2.3. *For $F > 0$ there exists $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\chi \geq 0, \chi(0) = 1$, such that for the corresponding operator $x^{-1}e^{-F/x}Ae^{F/x}$ the boundary principal symbol is elliptic.*

Hence, we have

$$A_F = x^{-1}e^{-F/x}Ae^{F/x} \in \Psi_{sc}^{-1,0}$$

is elliptic both in the sense of the standard principal symbol (in the set of interest O_c), and the scattering principal symbol, which is at $x = 0$. In particular the results of [13, Section 2] on elliptic scattering pseudodifferential operators are applicable. Thus, for c small, if we denote $M_c = \{x_c > 0\}$, $H_{sc}^{s,r}(M_c)_K = \{f \in H_{sc}^{s,r}(M_c) : \mathit{supp} f \subseteq K$ (K is a compact subset of O_c)$\}$ (Roughly speaking, $H_{sc}^{s,r}(M_c) = x_c^{-r}H^s(M_c)$, see [13, Section 2] for the detailed definition and properties),

$$A = xe^{F/x}A_F e^{-F/x} : e^{F/x}H_{sc}^{s,r}(M_c)_K \to e^{F/x}H_{sc}^{s+1,r+1}(M_c)$$

satisfies the estimates

$$\|f\|_{e^{F/x}H^{s,r}_{sc}(M_c)_K} \leq C\|Af\|_{e^{F/x}H^{s+1,r+1}_{sc}(M_c)}.$$

By similar arguement as in the last part of [13], we thus deduce for $s \geq 0, \delta > 0$

$$\|f\|_{e^{(F+\delta)/x}H^{s-1}(M_c)_K} \leq C\|Af\|_{e^{F/x}H^{s}(M_c)} \leq C'\|If\|_{H^{s}(\mathcal{M}_{M_c})}$$

when $f \in H^s(M_c)_K$. With $F+\delta$ replaced by F (since both $F > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ are arbitrary), this completes the proof of the main theorem. Thus we obtain the local injectivity and stability estimates for the X-ray transform for general flows.

References

[1] Y. M. Assylbekov, N. S. Dairbekov, *The X-ray transform on a general family of curves on Finsler surfaces*, preprint, arXiv:1204.4383v1.

[2] I. N. Bernstein, M. L. Gerver, *Conditions on distinguishability of metrics by hodographs*, Methods and Algorithms of Interpretation of Seismological Information, Computerized Seismology 13, Nauka, Moscow, 50-73 (in Russian).

[3] B. Frigyik, P. Stefanov, G. Uhlmann, *The X-ray transform for a generic family of curves and weights*, J. Geom. Anal. **18** (2008), 81-97.

[4] G. Herglotz, *Über die Elastizität der Erde bei Berücksichtigung ihrer variablen Dichte*, Zeitschr. für Math. Phys., **52** (1905), 275-299.

[5] R. B. Melrose, *Spectral and scattering theory for the Laplacian on asymptotically Euclidean spaces.* Marcel Dekker, 1994.

[6] R. G. Mukhometov, *The reconstruction problem of a two-dimensional Riemannian metric, and integral geometry (Russian)*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **232** (1977), no.1, 32-35.

[7] R. G. Mukhometov, *On a problem of reconstructing Riemannian metrics*, Siberian Math. J. **22** (1982), no. 3, 420-433.

[8] R. G. Mukhometov, V. G. Romanov, *On the problem of finding an isotropic Riemannian metric in an n-dimensional space (Russian)*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **243** (1978), no. 1, 41-44.

[9] P. Stefanov, G. Uhlmann, *Stability estimates for the X-ray transform of tensor fields and boundary rigidity*, Duke Math. J. **123** (2004), 445-467.

[10] P. Stefanov, G. Uhlmann, *Boundary and lens rigidity, tensor tomography and analytic microlocal analysis*, in Algebraic Analysis of Differential Equations, Fetschrift in Honor of Takahiro Kawai, edited by T. Aoki, H. Majima, Y. Katei and N. Tose, pp. 275-293 (2008).

[11] P. Stefanov, G. Uhlmann, *The geodesic X-ray transform with fold caustics*, Analysis and PDE, **5** (2012), 219-260.

[12] P. Stefanov, G. Uhlmann, *Recovery of a source term or a speed with one measurement and applications*, to appear in Transactions AMS.

[13] G. Uhlmann, A. Vasy, *The inverse problem for the local geodesic ray transform*, preprint, arXiv:1210.2084v1.

[14] E. Wiechert, K. Zoeppritz, *Über Erdbebenwellen*, Nachr. Koenigl. Geselschaft Wiss, Goettingen, **4** (1907), 415-549.

Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-4350, USA

E-mail address: `hzhou@math.washington.edu`